arXiv:1610.02846v2 [math.CO] 11 Oct 2016

A new proof of the Larman–Rogers upper bound for the chromatic number of the Euclidean space

R.I. Prosanov

Abstract

The *chromatic number* $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the smallest number of colors sufficient for coloring all points of the space in such a way that any two points at the distance 1 have different colors. In 1972 Larman–Rogers proved that $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n) \leqslant (3 + o(1))^n$. We give a new proof of this bound based on recent work of Marton Naszódi on covering space with measurable sets.

1 Introduction

The *chromatic number* $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the smallest number of colors sufficient for coloring all points of this space in such a way that any two points at the distance 1 have different colors. This problem was posed by Nelson for $n = 2$ (see the history of this problem in [8], [9], [10], [13]).

The exact value of $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not known even in the planar case. The best known bounds are

$$4 \leqslant \chi(\mathbb{R}^2) \leqslant 7$$

(see [13]). In the case of growing n we have

$$(1.239 + o(1))^n \leqslant \chi(\mathbb{R}^n) \leqslant (3 + o(1))^n. \tag{1}$$

The lower bound is due to Raigorodskii [11] and the upper bound is due to Larman and Rogers [5].

The proof of Larman and Rogers is based on a hard theorem due to Butler [1]. In this paper we present a new proof of the upper bound in (1) that does not use Butler's theorem. Instead we adapt the approach developed by Marton Naszódi [7]. It connects the geometrical covering problems with the coverings of finite hypergraphs.

We obtain the upper bound in (1) from a more general result, stated in the next section. It is motivated by the following generalization of $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let K be a convex centrally-symmetric body. Consider the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm determined by K. Let $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n_K)$ be the chromatic number of this normed space. If B^n is the usual unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then we have $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n) = \chi(\mathbb{R}^n_{B^n})$. In 2008 Kang and Füredi [3] obtained an upper bound for an arbitrary K:

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}^n_K) \leqslant (5 + o(1))^n.$$

In 2010 Kupavskii [4] improved it to

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}^n_K) \leqslant (4 + o(1))^n.$$

No exponential lower bounds are known for the general case, although such bounds are known to hold for the case of l_p-norms [12].

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give all necessary definitions and formulate the main result of this paper. In Section 3 we deduce the upper bound in (1) from this result.

2 Multilattices and upper bounds for the chromatic numbers

Let Ω be a lattice. A *multilattice* is a union $\Phi = \cup_{i=1}^{k}(\Omega + x_i)$ of translates of Ω by a finite number of vectors. A lattice is also a multilattice with $k = 1$. By $q(\Phi)$ denote the number of translations of the lattice Ω in the multilattice Φ. A tiling Ψ of the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ by convex polytopes is called *associated* with the multilattice Φ if there is a bijection between polytopes of Ψ and points in Φ such that every point x is contained in the interior of the corresponding polytope ψ_x.

Let K be a bounded closed centrally-symmetric convex body. The *tiling parameter* is

$$\gamma(K, \Phi, \Psi) = \inf\{\beta/\alpha | \forall x \in \Phi, \alpha K + x \subset \psi_x \subset \beta K + x\}.$$

Define

$$\gamma(K, k) = \inf_{\Phi, \Psi : q(\Phi) \leqslant k} \gamma(K, \Phi, \Psi),$$

where the infimum is over all multilattices Φ with $q(\Phi) \leqslant k$ and all tilings associated with them.

Our main result is

Theorem 1. *For all sufficiently large n we have*

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}^n_K) \leqslant (1 + \gamma(K, k))^n \left[n \ln n + n \ln \ln n + 2 \ln k + 2n\Big(1 + \ln\big(2\gamma(K, k)\big)\Big)\right].$$

In particular, if K_n is a sequence of bodies and k_n is a sequence of positive numbers such that for some absolute constant c we have $k_n \leqslant n^{cn}$, then

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}^n_{K_n}) \leqslant (1 + \gamma(K_n, k_n) + o(1))^n.$$

Proof. In what follows, all distances are calculated with respect to the norm, determined by K. By $\mu\Psi, \mu < 1$ we denote the union of polytopes $\mu(\psi_x - x) + x$, $\psi_x \in \Psi$ (that is, for each polytope ψ_x μ is the homothety coefficient and x is the center of homothety).

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a pair (Φ, Ψ) such that

$$\gamma(K, \Phi, \Psi) < \gamma(K, k) + \varepsilon.$$

Let α, β be numbers such that

$$\gamma = \beta/\alpha < \gamma(K, \Phi, \Psi) + \varepsilon$$

and for all $x \in \Phi$ we have

$$\alpha K + x \subset \mathrm{int}(\psi_x),\ \psi_x \subset \mathrm{int}(\beta K + x).$$

Since ψ_x is contained in $\mathrm{int}(\beta K + x)$, we see that the diameter of ψ_x is strictly less than 2β. Let

$$\mu = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta).$$

Then for all x the polytope ψ_x does not contain a pair of points at distance $2\beta\mu$. We show that for all $x, y \in \Phi$, $x \neq y$ the distance between $\mu\psi_x$ and $\mu\psi_y$ is greater than $2\beta\mu$. It is sufficient to consider only such polytopes that share a common face in some dimension. Since ψ_x and ψ_y are convex and share some k-dimensional face, there is a hyperplane containing this face and separating ψ_x and ψ_y. Let l_x and l_y be the distances from x and y to this hyperplane. The distance between $\mu\psi_x$ and $\mu\psi_y$ is greater than the distance between the images of this hyperplane under homothety with center x and homothety with center y. Since $\alpha K + x \subset \mathrm{int}(\psi_x)$, this distance is

$$(l_x + l_y)(1 - \mu) > 2\alpha(1 - \mu) = 2\beta\mu.$$

Therefore, the set $\mu\Psi$ does not contain a pair of points at the distance $2\beta\mu$ and we can color it with one color.

Next, we cover $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the copies of $\mu\Psi$. The typical approach for such problems is to apply the Erdős–Rogers theorem [2] (see also [4]). But since our set has a more general form than a set of the type $\cup_{x\in\Omega} L + x$ for some convex body L, we cannot use the Erdős-Rogers theorem.

Let Ω be the base lattice of our multilattice Φ. Consider a torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\Omega$. Since the tiling Ψ is periodical over the lattice Ω, there is a correctly defined image $\tilde{\Psi}$ under this factorization. Denote by $\tilde{x_i}$ the images of the translation vectors x_i of the lattice Ω in the multilattice Φ. Let $\tilde{\Psi}$ be a tiling of the torus T^n associated with the point set $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x_i}, i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ Denote by $\tilde{\psi_i}$ the polytope associated with the point $\tilde{x_i}$.

We show that we can cover T^n by less than

$$(1 + \gamma)^n(n \ln n + n \ln\ln n + 2 \ln k + 2n(1 + \ln 2\gamma))$$

copies of $\mu\tilde{\Psi}$.

Let Z be a set, $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of its subsets, and $Y \subseteq Z$. By $\tau(Y, \mathcal{F})$ denote the minimal cardinality of a family $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that Y is covered by the union of all sets $F \in \mathcal{E}$.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ be the families of translates of the sets $\mu\tilde{\Psi}$ and $\mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\Psi}$ by all vectors of T^n. Suppose $\Lambda \subset T^n$ is a finite point set of maximal cardinality such that $\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K + \Lambda$ is a packing of the bodies $\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K$. Then $\tau(T^n, \mathcal{F}) \leqslant \tau(\Lambda, \mathcal{F}')$.*

Proof. Since the cardinality of Λ is maximal, then $\alpha\mu\delta K + \Lambda$ is a covering of the torus.

We will show that if the system of translates of the set $\mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\Psi}$ by some set $Y = \{y_j, j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ of vectors covers Λ then T^n is covered by the system of translates of $\mu\tilde{\Psi}$ by Y.

Let $t \in T^n$ be an arbitrary point. Since $\alpha\mu\delta K + \Lambda$ is a covering of T^n then there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha\mu\delta K + \lambda$ contains t. There also exists j such that $\lambda \in ((\mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\psi}_i + y_j))$ for some i. Since for all i we have $\alpha K \subset \mathrm{int}(\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i)$, we obtain

$$t \in \mu\delta\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i + \lambda \subset ((\mu\delta\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i) + (\mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i)) + \tilde{x}_i + y_j \subset \mu\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i + \tilde{x}_i + y_j = \mu\tilde{\psi}_i + y_j.$$

The proof is complete.

□

A *fractional covering of* Y *by* $\mathcal{F}$ is a measure ν on $\mathcal{F}$ such that for all $y \in Y$ we have $\nu(\{F \in \mathcal{F}, y \in F\}) \geqslant 1$. Define

$\tau^*(Y, \mathcal{F}) = \inf\{\nu(\mathcal{F}) : \nu \text{ is a fractional covering of } Y \text{ by } \mathcal{F}\}$.

The following theorem establishes a connection between τ and τ^* for finite sets.

Theorem 2 (Lovász, [6]). *Suppose* Λ *is a finite set and* $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^\Lambda$*; then*

$$\tau(\Lambda, \mathcal{H}) < \left(1 + \ln\left(\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}}(|H|)\right)\right)\tau^*(\Lambda, \mathcal{H}).$$

Consider $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}'$ and Λ as in the notation of Lemma 1. From this lemma and the Lovász inequality it follows that

$$\tau(T^n, \mathcal{F}) \leqslant \tau(\Lambda, \mathcal{F}') \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \left(1 + \ln\left(\max_{F' \in \mathcal{F}'}(|\Lambda \cap F'|)\right)\right)\tau^*(\Lambda, \mathcal{F}') \leqslant \left(1 + \ln\left(\max_{F' \in \mathcal{F}'}(|\Lambda \cap F'|)\right)\right)\tau^*(T^n, \mathcal{F}').$$

Next we estimate $\tau^*(T^n, \mathcal{F}')$. By σ denote the usual measure on T^n induced by the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and scaled in such a way that $\sigma(T^n) = 1$. Let A be a measurable subset of the torus and $\mathcal{A}$ be a system of translates of A by all vectors of T^n. Since σ is invariant under translations, we see that the measure $\sigma/\sigma(A)$ is a fractional covering of T^n by $\mathcal{A}$. It means that

$$\tau^*(T^n, \mathcal{A}) \leqslant \sigma(T^n)/\sigma(A) = 1/\sigma(A).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\tau^*(T^n, \mathcal{F}') \leqslant (\sigma(\mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\Psi}))^{-1} = (\mu(1-\delta))^{-n} = \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\delta}\right)^n.$$

The last but one equality holds since $\tilde{\Psi}$ is a tiling of T^n.

We estimate $|\Lambda \cap F'|$. Recall that $\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K + \Lambda$ is a packing of bodies $\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K$. If $\lambda \in F' = \mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\Psi} + t$, then $\lambda \in \mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\psi}_i + t$ for some i. Since $\alpha K \subset \mathrm{int}(\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i)$, we have

$$\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K + \lambda \subseteq \left(\mu\frac{\delta}{2}\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i\right) + (\mu(1-\delta)\tilde{\psi}_i - \tilde{x}_i) + \tilde{x}_i + t \subseteq \mu\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\tilde{\psi}_i + t \subseteq \mu\tilde{\psi}_i + t.$$

Now we can compare the volumes and get the bound for $|\Lambda \cap F'|$. Since every $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is contained in $\beta K + \tilde{x}_i$, we get $\mathrm{vol}(\tilde{\psi}_i) \leqslant \beta^n \mathrm{vol}(K)$ and

$$|\Lambda \cap F'| \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mu\tilde{\psi})}{\mathrm{vol}(\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K)} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mu\phi_i)}{\mathrm{vol}(\frac{\alpha\mu\delta}{2}K)} \leqslant \frac{k\mu^n\beta^n\mathrm{vol}(K)}{\mu^n\alpha^n(\delta/2)^n\mathrm{vol}(K)} = k(2\gamma/\delta)^n.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\tau(T^n,\mathcal{F}) \leqslant \left(1+\ln\left(\max_{F'\in\mathcal{F}'}(|\Lambda\cap F'|)\right)\right)\tau^*(T^n,\mathcal{F}') \leqslant \frac{(1+n\ln(2\gamma/\delta)+\ln k)(1+\gamma)^n}{(1-\delta)^n}.$$

Now we take $\delta=\frac{1}{2n\ln n}$ and use (for arbitrary large n)

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{2n\ln n}\right)^{-n} \leqslant \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln n}\right) \leqslant 1+\frac{2}{\ln n}.$$

Thus we have

$$\tau(T^n,\mathcal{F}) \leqslant (1+\gamma)^n\left(1+\frac{2}{\ln n}\right)(1+n\ln((4n)(\ln n)(\gamma))+\ln k) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant (1+\gamma)^n\left(1+n\ln n+n\ln\ln n+2n\left(1+\ln 2+\frac{\ln 4}{\ln n}+\frac{\ln\ln n}{\ln n}\right)+\frac{2}{\ln n}+\right.$$

$$\left.+\left(1+\frac{2}{\ln n}\right)(n\ln\gamma+\ln k)\right) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant (1+\gamma)^n(n\ln n+n\ln\ln n+2\ln k+2n+2n\ln(2\gamma)) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant (1+\gamma(K,k)+\varepsilon)^n(n\ln n+n\ln\ln n+2\ln k+2n(1+\ln(2(\gamma(K,k)+\varepsilon)))).$$

This inequality holds for every $\varepsilon>0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

□

3 Chromatic number for the Euclidean metric

In their 1972 paper [5] Larman and Rogers proved that if K is a Euclidean ball, then (using our notation) $\gamma(K,1)\leqslant 2+o(1)$ as $n\to\infty$. They used a theorem due to Butler [1]. We need some notation to state Butler's result.

Let $\mathcal{K}=K+\Omega$ be a system of translates of K by vectors of the lattice Ω, $\xi_1=\xi_1(\mathcal{K})$ be the infimum of the positive numbers ξ such that the system $\xi\mathcal{K}$ is a covering of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\xi_2=\xi_2(\mathcal{K})$ be the supremum of the positive numbers ξ such that $\xi\mathcal{K}$ is a packing in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote $\xi(\mathcal{K})=\xi_1(\mathcal{K})/\xi_2(\mathcal{K})$. Consider $\tilde{\gamma}(K)=\inf_{\mathcal{K}}\xi(\mathcal{K})$, where the infimum is over the set of all lattices in $\mathbb{R}^n$. By DK denote the difference body of K, i.e., $DK=\{x-y|x,y\in K\}$. Then we have

Theorem 3 (Butler, [1]). *Let K be a bounded convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$; then there exists an absolute constant c such that*

$$\tilde{\gamma}(K) \leqslant \left[\frac{\mathrm{vol}(DK)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}n^{\log_2(\ln n)+c}\right]^{1/n}.$$

If K is centrally symmetric then we get $\tilde{\gamma}(K)\leqslant 2+o(1)$. It's easy to see that if K is a Euclidean ball, then $\gamma(K,1)\leqslant\tilde{\gamma}(K)$. Indeed, let Ω be a lattice such that $\xi(\mathcal{K})<\tilde{\gamma}(K)+\varepsilon$ and Ψ be a Voronoi tiling which corresponds to Ω. Then for all $x\in\Omega$ we get

$$K+x\subset\psi_x\subset\xi(\mathcal{K})K+x.$$

Since ε is arbitrary close to zero, we obtain our inequality. Unfortunately, if K is not a Euclidean ball, then Voronoi polytopes can be nonconvex and the locus of the points that have equal distances to a pair of given points can have nonzero measure. So, the problem of bounding $\gamma(K, 1)$ becomes much harder.

The theorem 3 is hard to prove but we can omit using it and use Theorem 1 instead. First we show that for some k $\gamma(K, k) \leqslant 2$. Let Ω be a lattice such that $\mathcal{K} = K + \Omega$ is a packing. We claim that there is some multilattice Φ with the base lattice Ω such that $\mathcal{K} = K + \Omega$ is a packing and $2\mathcal{K} = 2K + \Omega$ is a covering. By Δ denote the torus $\mathbb{R}^n/\Omega$. Choose a set $Y = \{y_i\}$ of the maximal cardinality such that

$$(K + y_i) \cap (K + y_j) = \varnothing, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

For all $x \in \Delta$ there exists $i : \|x - y_i\|_K < 2$. Otherwise $(K + x) \cap (K + y_i) = \varnothing$, so we can add x to the set Y, but then Y doesn't have the maximal cardinality. Therefore, we have proved that $\cup_i 2K + y_i$ covers Δ and $\gamma(K, k) \leqslant 2$.

Now we supply an upper bound on k. Let $K = B^n$ be inscribed into a cube C with the side length 2. The edges of C generate a lattice Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{K} = K + \Omega$ is a packing. We can bound k using volumes

$$k \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{vol}(T)}{\mathrm{vol}(B^n)} \leqslant n^{cn}.$$

Finally, we can apply Theorem 1 and get the upper bound for (1).

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to A. M. Raigorodskii and A. B. Kupavskii for their constant attention to this work and for useful remarks.

References

[1] G.J. Butler, *Simultaneous packing and covering in Euclidean space*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, (1972), 721-735.

[2] P. Erdős, C. A. Rogers, *Covering space with convex bodies*, Acta Arith. 7 (1961/1962), 281-285.

[3] Z. Füredi, J.-H. Kang, *Covering the n-space by convex bodies and its chromatic number*, Discrete Mathematics, 308 (2008), 4495-4500.

[4] A.B. Kupavskii, *The chromatic number of the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with arbitrary norm*, Discrete Mathematics, 311 (2011), 437-440.

[5] D.G. Larman, C.A. Rogers, *The realization of distances within sets in Euclidean space*, Mathematika, 19 (1972), 1-24.

[6] L. Lovász, *On the ratio of optimal integral and fractional covers*, Discrete Math. 13 (1975), N4, 383-390.

[7] M. Naszódi, *On some covering problems in geometry*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 144 (2016), 3555-3562.

[8] A. M. Raigorodskii, *Borsuk's problem and the chromatic numbers of some metric spaces*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 56:1 (2001), 103-139.

[9] A.M. Raigorodskii, *Cliques and cycles in distance graphs and graphs of diameters*, "Discrete Geometry and Algebraic Combinatorics", AMS, Contemporary Mathematics, 625 (2014), 93-109.

[10] A.M. Raigorodskii, *Coloring Distance Graphs and Graphs of Diameters*, Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory, J. Pach ed., Springer, 2013, 429-460.

[11] A.M. Raigorodskii, *On the chromatic number of a space*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 55:2 (2000), 351-352.

[12] A.M. Raigorodskii, *On the chromatic number of a space with the metric l_q*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 59:5 (2004), 973-975.

[13] A. Soifer, *The mathematical coloring book: mathematics of coloring and the colorful life of its creators*, Springer Science and Business Media, 2008.

Roman Prosanov, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskiye Gory 1, Moscow, Russia, 119991

E-mail address: rprosanov@mail.ru